Responsible investments in the food chain
Putting people first

The 7th European Development Days, held in Brussels/Belgium, pursued three objectives: discuss how best to empower farmers and scale up agricultural production in development countries in order to reach food security, evaluate new approaches to public/private collaboration, and show ways so that all people can participate in and benefit from wealth and job creation.

Resilience needs human safety nets

The issue of resilience formed a thread followed by a large number of the events. The participants of the Panel “A more effective partnership for a more effective world” agreed that humanitarian and development teams had to work more closely together. The aim had to be to ensure that development gains were not lost to damage from natural disasters and that relief efforts had long-term strategies for development built into them. In this context, Kristallina Georgieva, European Commissioner for International Cooperation, Humanitarian Aid And Crisis Response, criticised that today, just two per cent of development assistance was allocated to disaster preparedness and response, and out of this, only 3.6 per cent benefited preparedness, and the rest response/ rehabilitation. Why should this be the case? “Preparedness is not an attractive field of action,” Georgieva commented.

Caroline Anstey, Managing Director of the World Bank Group, noted a further imbalance: Every third US dollar spent in the context of development co-operation went lost because it was invested in areas that had already been established but were then destroyed, for example through natural disasters. “We have been holding a large number of international meetings on ensuring global financial safety nets since 2008. But what we need is human safety nets,” Anstey maintained, and she reminded participants that across the world, 56 per cent of countries had weak social protection systems. Anstey stressed the importance of reliable data in this context, for “otherwise, we don’t know where the money is supposed to go.” For example, just under half of the countries in sub-Saharan Africa had not conducted any household surveys for ten years.

Many actors, one goal: zero hunger

It is a known fact that how a child is treated from its conception to its second birthday sets the course for its physical and mental development potential. But one in four children is stunted in its development because of under-nutrition, while one-third of all child deaths around the world are related to malnutrition. Pervasive, long-term under-nutrition is destroying the potential of millions of children, thus slowing eroding the foundations of the global economy. Despite this, insights policy-makers are still hesitating to integrate nutrition into health, agriculture and food security initiatives. Why this should be the case and how this could be counteracted was discussed at the high-level panel titled “Resilience: the nutrition dimension.”


Organised by the European Commission for the seventh time, this year’s European Development Days were held under the motto “Sustainable and inclusive growth for human development”. The more than 50 events centred on three topics:

- Sustainable agriculture, food security and resilience
- The private sector and development
- Empowering people for inclusive growth

Six high-level panels were held for each thematic block. You can read about some of the key contents of the meeting on these pages; we are presenting the “Small farmers – big business?” panel on pages 8-9. On pages 10-11, brief examples of projects dedicated to supporting smallholders provide a supplement.

You can find a wealth of material on the website www.eudevdays.com/background information on and summaries of the individual events, quotes from the presentations as well as video recordings and photos.

Put your dreams into practice.
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Significantly reduce child under-nutrition in their countries. The problem here was clearly not a lack or shortage of food, the panellists unanimously stressed. Also, proven, low-cost remedies and solutions to under-nourishment were available. What was needed was more leadership and more political will to adopt nutrition policies and take effective action.

“If we can bring nutrition to the forefront, we know that it will have a huge return of investment,” said Paulus Verschuren of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Netherlands. All the pieces of solution were there. They simply had to be put together and adapted to the local needs. On the one hand, greater and better sustainable production in the field was important; on the other, food had to be available, affordable and accessible for consumers. Here, income and knowledge were equally important.

However, even the best programmes will be of no use if the social conditions are not taken into consideration. This was stressed by India Capoor, Director of the Indian Centre for Health Education, Training and Nutrition Awareness. If girls continued to get married at an early age, the problem of low wage births would not be remedied; if women continued to be illiterate, they would not be in a position to feed their children sufficiently. If no attention was given to who was participating in the fortification programmes, only the men would benefit from them in patriarchal societies. In her opinion, the focus should therefore be on educating and training girls and mothers; in addition, a sustainable nutrition strategy ought to be developed which was based on local indigenous markets. To achieve this, nutrition had to attain the same status as agriculture, so that farmers would not only be interested in growing cash crops but realise that food crops could be worthwhile, too. Multisectoral action was required to address the highly complex topic of nutrition, Capoor stressed. But it had to be made clear that achieving success would be especially difficult, for most donors wished to work bilaterally and sought fast results.

No growth without social protection

In his final address, EU Development Commissioner Andrés Piebalgs reminded the participants of Rio+20 and the post-2015 debate, in which sustainability assumes a central position. But according to the EU Commissioner, sustainability was just one half of the growth equation that the EU had established with its Agenda for Change; the other half of that equation was inclusiveness. In addition to poverty eradication, human dignity, decent work and responsible resource management, social protection had to be at the core of the post 2015 development framework as well. Nevertheless, the international community always ought to bear in mind what their work was really about: people-centred development.

(37)
Small farmers – big business?

A high-level panel on the role of the private sector in sustainable rural development

How can smallholders and producers be integrated into local, regional and global value-added chains? What are the opportunities and challenges that cooperation among smallholders and local producers with internationally organised trade chains poses? These questions were at the centre of the High-Level Panel “Small farmers – big business?” at the European Development Days 2012 in Brussels on the 17th October, which was organised by the Practitioner Network for European Development Cooperation, the Europe-Africa-Caribbean-Pacific Liaison Committee COLEACP, SNV Netherlands Development Organisation, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) and the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).

Kandel K. Yumkella, Director-General of UNIDO, stressed the importance of sustainable and fair value-added chains for smallholders. Mohamed Ibn Chambas, Secretary-General of the African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) Group of States, noted that only a few years before, private companies had shown no interest in sustainable rural development. Nowadays, this was different. Nevertheless, he criticised the decline in investment in the agricultural sector, particularly in agricultural research and innovation. The private sector had to be involved as a development partner, for this was the only way in which the global goals of food security and poverty reduction could be achieved.

Apollo Owour of Kenya Horticultural Exporters and Rashid Ally Mumu of Nyermo Investment Company, Tanzania, explained how they were supporting smallholders in fulfilling the rising quality standards in international as well as local markets. It was not only business here and now that counted in this context. Sustainably securing the value-added chain required the social responsibility of entrepreneurs, who had to take the living conditions of smallholder families and farm labourers into consideration in their business decisions.

Stephanie Barrientos, Director of the research programme “Capturing the Gains”, also funded by the United Kingdom’s Department for International Development (DFID), noted that a value-added chain was only as strong as its weakest link. “If the smallholders are not benefiting from it, the chain will break,” she explained. It was important to avoid women becoming “cheap” labours, as was the case in the flower trade. Hans-Jürgen Matern, Director of the Metro AG’s Sustainability Department, explained his company’s strategy. Smallholders were not regarded as mere suppliers but as consumers at the same time, and for a company like Metro AG, both sides were important. This was why the company was supporting smallholders in gaining access to markets. In addition, smallholders ought to have access to insurance and other financing options, Matern said.

Smallholders are always producing at a high risk. Insurance against inclement weather or natural disasters can prevent smallholders from dropping out of the value-added chain. Michael Anthony of Allianz SE explained that the use of satellite and radar technology was a promising development. A new system to be introduced in 2013 was going to enable insurance companies to identify the extent of damage immediately after the occurrence of a natural disaster. In this manner, a farm with a harvest insurance could be compensated for losses more quickly. Faster loss payment enabled faster reconstruction, which in turn lowered production losses, resulting in more secure income.

Kristian Schmidt, Director of the Human Development Department of the DG Development and Cooperation/EU Aid, emphasised that the European Commission intended to support more transparency in trading land title rights, e.g. by providing information on markets and prices, so that smallholders were not disadvantaged here. At the same time, smallholders and producers ought to opt for selling their produce not only in the European market, but also increasingly in local markets. Schmidt described the Commission’s approaches in the area of rural development: 1) The European Commission had for a long time neglected agriculture as a development objective and so had many African countries. Now the focus on agriculture was back. 2) Private sector engagement was also being fostered now. Europe would try to facilitate stronger cooperation with Africa. 3) There was a need to involve farmers’ organisations to guarantee an equal power relationship between the private sector and farmers. Joe Cerenl, Director of the Bill Gates Foundation in Europe, maintained that sustainable value-added chains are important to break the vicious circle of poverty and social marginalisation. Summing up the debate in her closing word, GIZ Management Board Chair Tanja Gönner stressed the aspects of trust, cooperation and knowledge transfer. Gönner pledged that the European development partners would “make their contribution to ensuring that the synergy effects of cooperation between government, the private sector and smallholders really come to bear. And they will see it to it that smallholders can also benefit from new, innovative approaches, such as agricultural insurance.”

Jörg Freiberg, GIZ, Exchbom, Germany

For more information on the event and the videos live-stream, see www.smallfarmersbigbusiness.org

Mohamed Ibn Chambas, Secretary General of the African, Caribbean, and Pacific (ACP) Group of States, on preconditions for sustainable agricultural development and the role of the private sector.

Mr Chambas, the international community increasingly recognises the need for continued and targeted assistance towards agriculture and development in sub-Saharan Africa. Representing the 79 countries in the African-Caribbean-Pacific region, what is your position on this issue?

At the Global Conference on Agriculture, Food Security and Climate Change in Hanoi last September, participants concluded that food security is and will remain a critical issue for the global community. By 2050, we will have an estimated world population of nine billion; to feed all these people, and to meet the growth in per capita consumption, we must increase global food production by a staggering 70 per cent. We are at a crossroads; as a global community, as a donor community, and as individual governments, and we have important decisions to make.

G8 nations see investment in agriculture as a key route to economic growth, and African agriculture is operating in a progressively more global environment.

Discussions at the G8 recognised that development requires not only aid, but also the promotion of economic growth, and highlighted the pivotal role played by agricultural development. Together the G8 countries made a pledge to encourage investment in agriculture as a central route towards poverty alleviation. They also highlighted the role of the private sector – from multinationals to smallholder growers – as key drivers for development.

How do you judge the potential of private sector investment?

There is a huge potential if it’s based on principles of sustainability, provides essential technical assistance, and ensure that socio-economic benefits reach poor producers and workers.

The topic under discussion – engaging the private sector in sustainable agricultural development – addressed some of the key issues during the European Development Days. As Keynote Speaker, you opened the high-level panel “Small farmers – big business?” How do you consider such an initiative in the debate?

The scenario we face today is challenging. The potential to further increase the cultivated acreage is relatively small. Furthermore, over the past century, the “green revolution”, which was so dramatic in its potential, did not benefit everyone. We therefore have a new policy environment, with an increased emphasis on agriculture, and with development programmes designed around donors and governments working hand-in-hand with the private sector. In the case of small-scale growers and small and medium-sized companies, they need support to capture the benefits and take advantage of innovations. They otherwise face growing disadvantages – with particular challenges facing women. In the spirit of this new policy environment, the panel “Small farmers – big business?” has brought a broad representation of players to address the critical theme of how best to involve the private sector while maintaining clear agricultural development and poverty alleviation objectives.

The high-level panel has been a very positive example of collaboration where individual institutes and organisations have worked together and put aside their individual perspectives and views, to address a common theme, remaining focused on the target: food security and poverty alleviation, with small-scale farming and sustainable production methods at the forefront.

Mohamed Ibn Chambas
**Examples of smallholder farmers’ promotion**

- UNIDO’s pro-poor sustainable supplier development programme (SSDP)

Farms and small and medium enterprises (SMES) in developing countries often face difficult conditions with market requirements and lack the technical and financial means to produce cost-effective goods of sufficient quantity and high quality. As a result, when profitable new market opportunities arise, they are unable to be accepted as suppliers. These challenges are shared by companies like the Metro Group, who are committed to making their supply chains not only more efficient but also more inclusive and sustainable by including smallholder farms, especially as they expand their operations in developing countries.

Together with the retailer Metro Group, the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) has developed a capacity building programme to apply the:

UNIDO has implemented sustainable pilot projects in Egypt, Russia and India, where suppliers have achieved considerable improve-

ment in their performance and compli-

ance with food safety standards and Good Agricultural Practices (GAP). As a result, the quality and volume of marketable products has improved. At the same time, consumers have benefited from better and safer products and can expect more stable food prices.

**Promoting competitiveness of African cashew farmers**

Nearly 40% of the global cashew crop is produced by about 1.5 million small farmers in Africa. The vast majority of these farmers live in rural areas and struggle to make as little as $90 to 330 euros of gross revenue per year through the production of cashews. Cashew farmers in Africa rarely organize themselves into associations. They are also insufficiently linked to international markets. Adding to the problem is the fact that only ten per cent of African raw cashew production undergoes further processing in Africa.

Increasing the amount of local processing.

Start-up processes are provided with a range of advice on how to grow their business, keep up with current market developments, access loans and financing, improve the use of technology and expand processing of cashew by-products.

Expanding links to the global marketplace.

With a 32-per-cent share of the global nut market, cashew is highly prized in Europe and North America, with a growing demand in Eastern European markets and India. The African Cashew Alliance (ACA), primarily a cashew industry association functioning as a supranational platform for public and private partners, assists in the global marketing of African cashews.

Advocate policies that favour adding value and structuring the cashew sec-

tor. ACA works to inform policy-makers and government officials about the potential of the cashew value chain. This includes establishing platforms where knowledge sharing takes place and representation of interest groups is promoted to advocate the sustain-

able development of the cashew sector.

Putting it scale, EMRP has increased the supply of single variety paddy rice to the mills, leading to a 9-14% cent gain in prices for milled rice and a 6% per cent increase in income for participating farmers. Meanwhile the rice mills participating in the project have taken a lead role in forming 14 miller groups in their respective provinces. The EMRP approach of rice milling expanded to four other Lao provinces.

**CoLEACP: supporting sustainable agriculture in ACP countries through training**

COLEACP (of Europe-Africa-Caribbean-Pacific Liaison Committee) started as a not-for-profit intergovernmental organization in 1993. It represents and defends the collective interests of African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) export-

ers/producers, consumers and EU importers of fruits and vegetables. Its main goal is to promote competitive trading between ACP countries and the EU and sustainable agriculture, notably by building capacity of food business operators, facilitating mutually beneficial agreements among member networks and advocating on behalf of the industry.

COLEACP has integrated training as an essen-

tial component in building capacity of tech-

ical staff in ACP horticultural companies, which are facing with new changing conditions for access to the European market. Educational and support methods specifically adapted to this new envi-

ronment had to be created from scratch. This is exactly what the PIP and EDE programmes are about. The European Commission (EC) has entrusted COLEACP with implementing a PIP since 2006. The EDEs’ mandate is to improve the quality and marketing of ACP horticultural goods, group training courses, in-

house training courses and e-learning: ongoing development of new tools and new training courses (training methods or tools) adapted to needs expressed during the programme, which are then further developed and integrated into the initial training scheme.

Since 2001, COLEACP work has evolved from food safety to food security for ACP countries. By boosting and sharing resources, tools and methods, removing barriers between export and local levels and organizing the mutual exchange of ‘know-how’. COLEACP supports small-scale producers in becoming able to feed tomorrow’s world.
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**Cooperation is the key: an inclusive approach to rice in Lao PDR**

Recognising the importance of improved rice production to both food security and development challenges in Laos, the Singapore Milling Rice Production in Lao PDR Project (EMRP) has united rice millers and smallholders to increase rice production and open new distribution channels and improve livelihoods for more than 100,000 people.

A joint initiative of SNV-Netherlands Development Organisation and Helvetas, implemented in partnership with the Lao government with support from the European Union’s Food Facility programme, EMRP has facilitated the development of fair trade relations between over 20,000 smallholder households and more than 20 rice mills over two years. The project has been one of the first in the region to implement an improved government framework for rice production and trade, enabling rice exporters to neighbouring countries, and the formation of a team at the department of agriculture to work on drafting a national rice strategy.

Millers in the middle, EMRP focused on improving the capacity of millers to establish linkages with small-

COLEACP: supporting sustainable agriculture in ACP countries through training

Millers and farmer networks helped ensure the uniform supply of quality seeds, affordable access to fertilisers and information about production techniques.

The SNV/Helvetas EMRP project has improved livelihoods for over 100,000 people in Lao PDR.

**Farmers on cashew farm with intercropping.**

**Farmers on cashew farm with intercropping.**